

On the critical dimension of a fourth order elliptic problem with negative exponent

Amir Moradifam *

Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia,

Vancouver BC Canada V6T 1Z2

a.moradi@math.ubc.ca

May 12, 2009

Abstract

We study the regularity of the extremal solution of the semilinear biharmonic equation $\beta\Delta^2 u - \tau\Delta u = \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2}$ on a ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, under Navier boundary conditions $u = \Delta u = 0$ on ∂B , where $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter, while $\tau > 0$, $\beta > 0$ are fixed constants. It is known that there exists a λ^* such that for $\lambda > \lambda^*$ there is no solution while for $\lambda < \lambda^*$ there is a branch of minimal solutions. Our main result asserts that the extremal solution u^* is regular ($\sup_B u^* < 1$) for $N \leq 8$ and $\beta, \tau > 0$ and it is singular ($\sup_B u^* = 1$) for $N \geq 9$, $\beta > 0$, and $\tau > 0$ with $\frac{\tau}{\beta}$ small. Our proof for the singularity of extremal solutions in dimensions $N \geq 9$ is based on certain improved Hardy-Rellich inequalities.

1 Introduction

Consider the fourth order elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} \beta\Delta^2 u - \tau\Delta u = \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ 0 < u \leq 1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (G_\lambda)$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter, $\tau > 0$, $\beta > 0$ are fixed constants, and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 2$) is a bounded smooth domain. This problem with $\beta = 0$ models a simple electrostatic Micro-Electromechanical Systems (MEMS) device which has been recently studied by many authors. For instance, see [3], [5], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], and the references cited therein.

Recently, Lin and Yang [16] derived the equation (G_λ) in the study of the charged plates in electrostatic actuators. They showed that there exists $0 < \lambda^* < \infty$ such that for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ (G_λ) has a minimal regular solutions u_λ ($\sup_B u_\lambda < 1$) while for $\lambda > \lambda^*$, (G_λ) does not have any regular solution. Moreover, the branch $\lambda \rightarrow u_\lambda(x)$ is increasing for each $x \in B$, and therefore the function $u^* = \lim_{\lambda \nearrow \lambda^*} u_\lambda$ can be considered as a generalized solution that corresponds to the pull-in voltage λ^* . Now the important question is whether the extremal solution u^* is regular or not. In a recent paper Guo and Wei [15] proved that the extremal solution u^* is regular for dimensions $N \leq 4$. In this paper we consider the problem (G_λ) on the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^N$:

$$\begin{cases} \beta\Delta^2 u - \tau\Delta u = \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2} & \text{in } B, \\ 0 < u \leq 1 & \text{in } B, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B, \end{cases} \quad (P_\lambda)$$

and show that the critical dimension for (P_λ) is $N = 9$. Indeed we prove that the extremal solution of (P_λ) is regular ($\sup_B u^* < 1$) for $N \leq 8$ and $\beta, \tau > 0$ and it is singular ($\sup_B u^* = 1$) for $N \geq 9$, $\beta > 0$, and $\tau > 0$

*This work is supported by a Killam Predoctoral Fellowship, and is part of the author's PhD dissertation in preparation under the supervision of N. Ghoussoub.

with $\frac{\tau}{\beta}$ small. Our proof of regularity of the extremal solution in dimensions $5 \leq N \leq 8$ is heavily inspired by [4] and [6]. On the other hand we shall use certain improved Hardy-Rellich inequalities to prove that the extremal solution is singular in dimensions $N \geq 9$. Our improve Hardy-Rellich inequalities follow from the recent result of Ghoussoub-Moradifam [17] about Hardy and Hardy-Rellich inequalities.

We now start by recalling some of the results from [15] concerning (P_λ) that will be needed in the sequel. Define

$$\lambda^*(B) := \sup\{\lambda > 0 : (P_\lambda) \text{ has a classical solution}\}.$$

We now introduce the following notion of solution.

Definition 1 *We say that u is a weak solution of (G_λ) , if $0 \leq u \leq 1$ a.e. in Ω , $\frac{1}{(1-u)^2} \in L^1(\Omega)$ and if*

$$\int_{\Omega} u(\beta \Delta^2 \varphi - \tau \Delta \varphi) dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi}{(1-u)^2} dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in W^{4,2}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega),$$

Say that u is a weak super-solution (resp. weak sub-solution) of (G_λ) , if the equality is replaced with $\geq$ (resp. $\leq$) for $\varphi \geq 0$.

We now introduce the notion of stability. First, we equip the function space $\mathcal{H} := H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) = W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ with the norm

$$\|\psi\| = \left(\int_{\Omega} [\tau |\nabla \psi|^2 + \beta |\Delta \psi|^2] dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Definition 2 *We say that a weak solution u_λ of (G_λ) is stable (respectively semi-stable) if the first eigenvalue $\mu_{1,\lambda}(u_\lambda)$ of the problem*

$$-\tau \Delta h + \beta \Delta^2 h - \frac{2\lambda}{(1-u_\lambda)^3} h = \mu h \text{ in } \Omega, \quad h = \Delta h = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \quad (1)$$

is positive (resp., nonnegative).

The operator $\beta \Delta^2 u - \tau \Delta u$ satisfies the following maximum principle which will be frequently used in the sequel.

Lemma 1.1 ([15]) *Let $u \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then $u \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω , provided one of the following conditions hold:*

1. $u \in C^4(\overline{\Omega})$, $\beta \Delta^2 u - \tau \Delta u \geq 0$ on Ω , and $u = \Delta u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.
2. $\int_{\Omega} u(\beta \Delta^2 \varphi - \tau \Delta \varphi) dx \geq 0$ for all $0 \leq \varphi \in W^{4,2}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$.
3. $u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega)$, $u = 0$, $\Delta u \leq 0$ on ∂B , and $\int_{\Omega} [\beta \Delta u \Delta \varphi + \tau \nabla u \nabla \varphi] dx \geq 0$ for all $0 \leq \varphi \in W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Moreover, either $u \equiv 0$ or $u > 0$ a.e. in Ω .

2 The pull-in voltage

As in [6] and [4], we are led here to examine problem (P_λ) with non-homogeneous boundary conditions such as

$$\begin{cases} \beta \Delta^2 u - \tau \Delta u = \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2} & \text{in } B, \\ \alpha < u \leq 1 & \text{in } B, \\ u = \alpha, \Delta u = \gamma & \text{on } \partial B, \end{cases} \quad (P_\lambda, \alpha, \gamma)$$

where α, γ are given. Whenever we need to emphasis the parameters β and τ we will refer to problem $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$ as $(P_{\lambda, \beta, \tau, \alpha, \gamma})$. In this section and Section 3 we will obtain several results for the following general form of $(P_\lambda, \alpha, \gamma)$

$$\begin{cases} \beta \Delta^2 u - \tau \Delta u = \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \alpha < u \leq 1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \alpha, \Delta u = \gamma & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (G_\lambda, \alpha, \gamma)$$

which are analogous to the results obtained by Gui and Wei for (G_λ) in [15].
Let Φ denote the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} \beta\Delta^2\Phi - \tau\Delta\Phi = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Phi = \alpha, \quad \Delta\Phi = \gamma & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

We will say that the pair (α, γ) is admissible if $\gamma \leq 0$, $\alpha < 1$, and $\sup_\Omega \Phi < 1$. We now introduce a notion of weak solution.

Definition 3 We say that u is a weak solution of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$, if $\alpha \leq u \leq 1$ a.e. in Ω , $\frac{1}{(1-u)^2} \in L^1(\Omega)$ and if

$$\int_\Omega (u - \Phi)(\beta\Delta^2\varphi - \tau\Delta\varphi) = \lambda \int_\Omega \frac{\varphi}{(1-u)^2} \quad \forall \varphi \in W^{4,2}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega),$$

where Φ is given in (2). We say u is a weak super-solution (resp. weak sub-solution) of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$, if the equality is replaced with $\geq$ (resp. $\leq$) for $\varphi \geq 0$.

Definition 4 We say a weak solution u of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$ is regular (resp. singular) if $\|u\|_\infty < 1$ (resp. $\|u\|_\infty = 1$).

We now define

$$\lambda^*(\alpha, \gamma) := \sup \left\{ \lambda > 0 : (P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma}) \text{ has a classical solution} \right\}$$

and

$$\lambda_*(\alpha, \gamma) := \sup \left\{ \lambda > 0 : (P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma}) \text{ has a weak solution} \right\}.$$

Observe that by the Implicit Function Theorem, we can classically solve $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$ for small λ 's. Therefore, $\lambda^*(\alpha, \gamma)$ and $\lambda_*(\alpha, \gamma)$ are well defined for any admissible pair (α, γ) . To cut down on notations we won't always indicate α and γ . For example, λ_* and λ^* will denote the “weak and strong critical voltages” of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$.

Now let U be a weak super-solution of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$ and recall the following existence result.

Theorem 2.1 ([15]) For every $0 \leq f \in L^1(\Omega)$ there exists a unique $0 \leq u \in L^1(\Omega)$ which satisfies

$$\int_\Omega u(\beta\Delta^2\varphi - \tau\Delta\varphi) dx = \int_\Omega f\varphi dx,$$

for all $\varphi \in W^{4,2}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$.

We can introduce the following “weak” iterative scheme: $u_0 = U$ and (inductively) let u_n , $n \geq 1$, be the solution of

$$\int_\Omega (u_n - \Phi)(\beta\Delta^2\varphi - \tau\Delta\varphi) = \lambda \int_\Omega \frac{\varphi}{(1-u_{n-1})^2} \quad \forall \varphi \in W^{4,2}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$$

given by Theorem 2.1. Since 0 is a sub-solution of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$, inductively it is easily shown by Lemma 1.1 that $\alpha \leq u_{n+1} \leq u_n \leq U$ for every $n \geq 0$. Since

$$(1 - u_n)^{-2} \leq (1 - U)^{-2} \in L^1(\Omega),$$

by Lebesgue Theorem the function $u = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_n$ is a weak solution of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$ so that $\alpha \leq u \leq U$. We therefore have the following result.

Lemma 2.2 Assume the existence of a weak super-solution U of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$. Then there exists a weak solution u of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$ so that $\alpha \leq u \leq U$ a.e. in Ω .

In particular, for every $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*)$, we can find a weak solution of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$. In the same range of λ 's, this is still true for regular weak solutions as shown in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3 Let (α, γ) be an admissible pair and u be a weak solution of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$. Then, there exists a regular solution for every $0 < \mu < \lambda$.

Proof: Let $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ be given and let $\bar{u} = (1 - \epsilon)u + \epsilon\Phi$, where Φ is given in (2). By Lemma 1.1 $\sup_{\Omega} \Phi < \sup_{\Omega} u \leq 1$. Hence

$$\sup_{\Omega} \bar{u} \leq (1 - \epsilon) + \epsilon \sup_{\Omega} \Phi < 1, \quad \inf_{\Omega} \bar{u} \geq (1 - \epsilon)\alpha + \epsilon \inf_{\Omega} \Phi = \alpha,$$

and for every $0 \leq \varphi \in W^{4,2}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ there holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (\bar{u} - \Phi)(\beta \Delta^2 \varphi - \tau \Delta \varphi) &= (1 - \epsilon) \int_{\Omega} (u - \Phi)(\beta \Delta^2 \varphi - \tau \Delta \varphi) = (1 - \epsilon) \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi}{(1 - u)^2} \\ &= (1 - \epsilon)^3 \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi}{(1 - \bar{u} + \epsilon(\Phi - 1))^2} \geq (1 - \epsilon)^3 \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi}{(1 - \bar{u})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $0 \leq (1 - \epsilon)(1 - u) = 1 - \bar{u} + \epsilon(\Phi - 1) < 1 - \bar{u}$. So $\bar{u}$ is a weak super-solution of $(P_{(1-\epsilon)^3\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$ so that $\sup_{\Omega} \bar{u} < 1$. By Lemma 2.2 we get the existence of a weak solution w of $(P_{(1-\epsilon)^3\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$ so that $\alpha \leq w \leq \bar{u}$.

In particular, $\sup_{\Omega} w < 1$ and w is a regular weak solution. Since $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ is arbitrarily chosen, the proof is done. $\square$

Lemma 2.3 implies the existence of a regular weak solution U_{λ} for every $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*)$. Introduce now a “classical” iterative scheme: $u_0 = 0$ and (inductively) $u_n = v_n + \Phi$, $n \geq 1$, where $v_n \in W^{4,2}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ is the solution of

$$\beta \Delta^2 v_n - \tau \Delta v_n = \beta \Delta^2 u_n - \tau \Delta u_n = \frac{\lambda}{(1 - u_{n-1})^2} \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta v_n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \quad (3)$$

Since $v_n \in W^{4,2}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, u_n is also a weak solution of (3), and by Lemma 1.1 we know that $\alpha \leq u_n \leq u_{n+1} \leq U_{\lambda}$ for every $n \geq 0$. Since $\sup_{\Omega} u_n \leq \sup_{\Omega} U_{\lambda} < 1$ for $n \geq 0$, we get that $(1 - u_{n-1})^{-2} \in L^2(\Omega)$ and the existence of v_n is guaranteed. Since v_n is easily seen to be uniformly bounded in $H^2(\Omega)$, we have that $u_{\lambda} := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_n$ does hold pointwise and weakly in $H^2(\Omega)$. By Lebesgue theorem, we have that u_{λ} is a radial weak solution of (P_{λ}) so that $\sup_{\Omega} u_{\lambda} \leq \sup_{\Omega} U_{\lambda} < 1$. By elliptic regularity theory [1], $u_{\lambda} \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $u_{\lambda} = \Delta u_{\lambda} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. So we can integrate by parts to get

$$\int_{\Omega} \beta (\Delta^2 u_{\lambda} - \tau \Delta u_{\lambda}) \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} u_{\lambda} (\beta \Delta^2 \varphi - \tau \Delta \varphi) \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi}{(1 - u_{\lambda})^2}$$

for every $\varphi \in W^{4,2}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$. Hence, u_{λ} is a classical solution of (P_{λ}) showing that $\lambda^* = \lambda_*$.

Since the argument above shows that $u_{\lambda} < U$ for any other classical solution U of $(P_{\mu}, \alpha, \gamma)$ with $\mu \geq \lambda$, we have that u_{λ} is exactly the minimal solution and u_{λ} is strictly increasing as $\lambda \uparrow \lambda^*$. In particular, we can define u^* in the usual way: $u^*(x) = \lim_{\lambda \nearrow \lambda^*} u_{\lambda}(x)$.

Lemma 2.4 $\lambda^*(\Omega) < +\infty$.

Proof: Let u be a classical solution of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$ and let (ψ, μ_1) with $\Delta \psi = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ denote the first eigenpair of $\beta \Delta^2 - \tau \Delta$ in $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ with $\psi > 0$. Now let C be such that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} ((\tau\alpha - \beta\gamma)\partial_{\nu}\psi - \beta\alpha\partial_{\nu}(\Delta\psi)) = C \int_{\Omega} \psi.$$

Multiplying $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$ by ψ and then integrating by parts one arrives at

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\lambda}{(1 - u)^2} - \mu_1 u - C \right) \psi = 0.$$

Since $\psi > 0$ there must exist a point $\bar{x} \in \Omega$ where $\frac{\lambda}{(1 - u(\bar{x}))^2} - \mu_1 u(\bar{x}) - C \leq 0$. Since $\alpha < u(\bar{x}) < 1$, hence one can conclude that $\lambda \leq \sup_{0 < u < 1} (\mu_1 u + C)(1 - u)^2$, which shows that $\lambda^* < +\infty$. $\square$

In conclusion, we have shown the following description of the minimal branch.

Theorem 2.5 $\lambda^* \in (0, +\infty)$ and the following holds:

1. For each $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ there exists a regular and minimal solution u_λ of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$.
2. For each $x \in \Omega$ the map $\lambda \mapsto u_\lambda(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \lambda^*)$.
3. For $\lambda > \lambda^*$ there are no weak solutions of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$.

3 Stability of the minimal solutions

This section is devoted to the proof of the following stability result for minimal solutions. We shall need the following notion of $\mathcal{H}$ -weak solutions, which is an intermediate class between classical and weak solutions.

Definition 5 We say that u is an $\mathcal{H}$ -weak solution of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$ if $u - \Phi \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, $0 \leq u \leq 1$ a.e. in Ω , $\frac{1}{(1-u)^2} \in L^1(\Omega)$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} [\beta \Delta u \Delta \varphi + \tau \nabla u \nabla \varphi] dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi}{(1-u)^2}, \quad \forall \varphi \in W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega).$$

where Φ is given by (2). We say that u is an $\mathcal{H}$ -weak super-solution (resp. an $\mathcal{H}$ -weak sub-solution) of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$ if for $\varphi \geq 0$ the equality is replaced with $\geq$ (resp. $\leq$) and $u \geq 0$ (resp. $\leq$), $\Delta u \leq 0$ (resp. $\geq$) on $\partial\Omega$.

Theorem 3.1 Suppose that (α, γ) is an admissible pair.

1. The minimal solution u_λ is stable, and is the unique semi-stable $\mathcal{H}$ -weak solution of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$.
2. The function $u^* := \lim_{\lambda \nearrow \lambda^*} u_\lambda$ is a well-defined semi-stable $\mathcal{H}$ -weak solution of $(P_{\lambda^*, \alpha, \gamma})$.
3. u^* is the unique $\mathcal{H}$ -weak solution of $(P_{\lambda^*, \alpha, \gamma})$, and when u^* is classical solution, then $\mu_1(u^*) = 0$.
4. If v is a singular, semi-stable $\mathcal{H}$ -weak solution of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$, then $v = u^*$ and $\lambda = \lambda^*$.

The main tool is the following comparison lemma which is valid exactly in the class $\mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 3.2 Let (α, γ) be an admissible pair and u be a semi-stable $\mathcal{H}$ -weak solution of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$. Assume U is a $\mathcal{H}$ -weak super-solution of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$. Then

1. $u \leq U$ a.e. in Ω ;
2. If u is a classical solution and $\mu_1(u) = 0$ then $U = u$.

Proof: (i) Define $w := u - U$. Then by means of the Moreau decomposition for the biharmonic operator (see [19] and [2]), there exist w_1 and $w_2 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, with $w = w_1 + w_2$, $w_1 \geq 0$ a.e., $\beta \Delta^2 w_2 - \tau \Delta w_2 \leq 0$ in the $\mathcal{H}$ -weak sense and $\int_{\Omega} \beta \Delta w_1 \Delta w_2 + \tau \nabla w_1 \cdot \nabla w_2 = 0$. Lemma 1.1 gives that $w_2 \leq 0$ a.e. in Ω . Given $0 \leq \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \beta \Delta w \Delta \varphi + \tau \nabla w \cdot \nabla \varphi \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} (f(u) - f(U)) \varphi,$$

where $f(u) := (1-u)^{-2}$. Since u is semi-stable, one has

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega} f'(u) w_1^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \beta (\Delta w_1)^2 + \tau |\nabla w_1|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \beta \Delta w \Delta w_1 + \tau \nabla w \cdot \nabla w_1 \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} (f(u) - f(U)) w_1.$$

Since $w_1 \geq w$ one has

$$\int_{\Omega} f'(u) w w_1 \leq \int_{\Omega} (f(u) - f(U)) w_1,$$

which re-arranged gives

$$\int_{\Omega} \tilde{f} w_1 \geq 0,$$

where $\tilde{f}(u) = f(u) - f(U) - f'(u)(u - U)$. The strict convexity of f gives $\tilde{f} \leq 0$ and $\tilde{f} < 0$ whenever $u \neq U$. Since $w_1 \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω , one sees that $w \leq 0$ a.e. in Ω . The inequality $u \leq U$ a.e. in Ω is then established.

(ii) Since u is a classical solution, it is easy to see that the infimum of $\mu_1(u)$ is attained at some φ . The function φ is then the first eigenfunction of $\beta\Delta^2 - \tau\Delta - \frac{2\lambda}{(1-u)^3}$ in $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$. Now we show that φ is of fixed sign. Using the above decomposition, one has $\varphi = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2$ where $\varphi_i \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ for $i = 1, 2$, $\varphi_1 \geq 0$, $\int_{\Omega} \beta\Delta\varphi_1\Delta\varphi_2 + \tau\nabla\varphi_1 \cdot \nabla\varphi_2 = 0$ and $\beta\Delta^2\varphi_2 - \tau\Delta\varphi_2 \leq 0$ in the $\mathcal{H}$ -weak sense. If φ changes sign, then $\varphi_1 \not\equiv 0$ and $\varphi_2 < 0$ in Ω (recall that either $\varphi_2 < 0$ or $\varphi_2 = 0$ a.e. in Ω). We can write now

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \mu_1(u) &\leq \frac{\int_{\Omega} \beta(\Delta(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2))^2 + \tau|\nabla(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)|^2 - \lambda f'(u)(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)^2}{\int_{\Omega} (\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)^2} \\ &< \frac{\int_{\Omega} \beta(\Delta\varphi)^2 + \tau|\nabla\varphi|^2 - \lambda f'(u)\varphi^2}{\int_{\Omega} \varphi^2} = \mu_1(u), \end{aligned}$$

in view of $\varphi_1\varphi_2 < -\varphi_1\varphi_2$ in a set of positive measure, leading to a contradiction.

So we can assume $\varphi \geq 0$, and by Lemma 1.1 we have $\varphi > 0$ in Ω . For $0 \leq t \leq 1$, define

$$g(t) = \int_{\Omega} \beta\Delta[tU + (1-t)u]\Delta\varphi + \tau\nabla[tU + (1-t)u] \cdot \nabla\varphi - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(tU + (1-t)u)\varphi,$$

where φ is the above first eigenfunction. Since f is convex one sees that

$$g(t) \geq \lambda \int_{\Omega} [tf(U) + (1-t)f(u) - f(tU + (1-t)u)]\varphi \geq 0$$

for every $t \geq 0$. Since $g(0) = 0$ and

$$g'(0) = \int_{\Omega} \beta\Delta(U - u)\Delta\varphi + \tau\nabla(U - u) \cdot \nabla\varphi - \lambda f'(u)(U - u)\varphi = 0,$$

we get that

$$g''(0) = -\lambda \int_{\Omega} f''(u)(U - u)^2\varphi \geq 0.$$

Since $f''(u)\varphi > 0$ in Ω , we finally get that $U = u$ a.e. in Ω . $\square$

A more general version of Lemma 3.2 is available in the following.

Lemma 3.3 *Let (α, γ) be an admissible pair and $\gamma' \leq 0$. Let u be a semi-stable $\mathcal{H}$ -weak sub-solution of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$ with $u = \alpha' \leq \alpha$, $\Delta u = \beta' \geq \beta$ on $\partial\Omega$. Assume that U is a $\mathcal{H}$ -weak super-solution of $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$ with $U = \alpha$, $\Delta U = \beta$ on $\partial\Omega$. Then $U \geq u$ a.e. in Ω .*

Proof: Let $\tilde{u} \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ denote a weak solution of $\beta\Delta^2\tilde{u} - \tau\Delta\tilde{u} = \beta\Delta^2(u - U) - \tau\Delta(u - U)$ in Ω and $\tilde{u} = \Delta\tilde{u} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Since $\tilde{u} - u + U \geq 0$ and $\Delta(\tilde{u} - u + U) \leq 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, by Lemma 1.1 one has that $\tilde{u} \geq u - U$ a.e. in Ω . By means of the Moreau decomposition (see [19] and [2]) we write $\tilde{u}$ as $\tilde{u} = w + v$, where $w, v \in H_0^2(\Omega)$, $w \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω , $\beta\Delta^2v - \tau\Delta v \leq 0$ in a $\mathcal{H}$ -weak sense and $\int_{\Omega} \beta\Delta w\Delta v + \tau\nabla w \cdot \nabla v = 0$. Then for $0 \leq \varphi \in W^{4,2}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, one has

$$\int_{\Omega} \beta\Delta\tilde{u}\Delta\varphi + \tau\nabla\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla\varphi \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} (f(u) - f(U))\varphi.$$

In particular, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \beta \Delta \tilde{u} \Delta w + \tau \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla w \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} (f(u) - f(U)) w.$$

Since the semi-stability of u gives that

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega} f'(u) w^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \beta (\Delta w)^2 + \tau |\nabla w|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \beta \Delta \tilde{u} \Delta w + \tau \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla w,$$

we get that

$$\int_{\Omega} f'(u) w^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} (f(u) - f(U)) w.$$

By Lemma 1.1 we have $v \leq 0$ and then $w \geq \tilde{u} \geq u - U$ a.e. in Ω . So we obtain that

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega} (f(u) - f(U) - f'(u)(u - U)) w.$$

The strict convexity of f implies that $U \geq u$ a.e. in Ω . $\square$

We need also some a-priori estimates along the minimal branch u_{λ} .

Lemma 3.4 *Let (α, γ) be an admissible pair. Then for every $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$, we have*

$$2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_{\lambda} - \Phi)^2}{(1 - u_{\lambda})^3} \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{\lambda} - \Phi}{(1 - u_{\lambda})^2},$$

where Φ is given by (2). In particular, there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of λ so that

$$\int_{\Omega} (\tau |\nabla u_{\lambda}|^2 + \beta |\Delta u_{\lambda}|^2) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(1 - u_{\lambda})^3} \leq C, \quad (4)$$

for every $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$.

Proof: Testing $(P_{\lambda, \alpha, \gamma})$ on $u_{\lambda} - \Phi \in W^{4,2}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, we see that

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{\lambda} - \Phi}{(1 - u_{\lambda})^2} = \int_{\Omega} (\tau |\nabla(u_{\lambda} - \Phi)|^2 + \beta (\Delta(u_{\lambda} - \Phi))^2) dx \geq 2\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_{\lambda} - \Phi)^2}{(1 - u_{\lambda})^3}.$$

In the view of $\beta \Delta^2 \Phi - \tau \Delta \Phi = 0$. In particular, for $\delta > 0$ small we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|u_{\lambda}| \geq \delta\}} \frac{1}{(1 - u_{\lambda})^3} &\leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_{\{|u_{\lambda} - \Phi| \geq \delta\}} \frac{(u_{\lambda} - \Phi)^2}{(1 - u_{\lambda})^3} \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(1 - u_{\lambda})^2} \\ &\leq \delta \int_{\{|u_{\lambda} - \Phi| \geq \delta\}} \frac{1}{(1 - u_{\lambda})^3} + C_{\delta} \end{aligned}$$

by means of Young's inequality. Since for δ small

$$\int_{\{|u_{\lambda} - \Phi| \leq \delta\}} \frac{1}{(1 - u_{\lambda})^3} \leq C,$$

for some $C > 0$, we get that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(1 - u_{\lambda})^3} \leq C,$$

for some $C > 0$ and for every $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (\tau |\nabla u_{\lambda}|^2 + \beta |\Delta u_{\lambda}|^2) dx &= \int_{\Omega} (\beta \Delta u_{\lambda} \Delta \Phi + \tau \nabla u_{\lambda} \cdot \nabla \Phi) + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{\lambda} - \Phi}{(1 - u_{\lambda})^2} \\ &\leq \delta \int_{\Omega} (\tau |\nabla u_{\lambda}|^2 + \beta |\Delta u_{\lambda}|^2) dx + C_{\delta} + C \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(1 - u_{\lambda})^3} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \end{aligned}$$

in view of Young's and Hölder's inequalities, estimate (4) is finally established. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.1: (1) Since $\|u_\lambda\|_\infty < 1$, the infimum defining $\mu_1(u_\lambda)$ is achieved at a first eigenfunction for every $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$. Since $\lambda \mapsto u_\lambda(x)$ is increasing for every $x \in \Omega$, it is easily seen that $\lambda \mapsto \mu_1(u_\lambda)$ is a decreasing and continuous function on $(0, \lambda^*)$. Define

$$\lambda_{**} := \sup\{0 < \lambda < \lambda^* : \mu_1(u_\lambda) > 0\}.$$

We have that $\lambda_{**} = \lambda^*$. Indeed, otherwise we would have $\mu_1(u_{\lambda_{**}}) = 0$, and for every $\mu \in (\lambda_{**}, \lambda^*)$, u_μ would be a classical super-solution of $(P_{\lambda_{**}, \alpha, \gamma})$. A contradiction arises since Lemma 3.2 implies $u_\mu = u_{\lambda_{**}}$. Finally, Lemma 3.2 guarantees the uniqueness in the class of semi-stable $\mathcal{H}$ -weak solutions.

(2) It follows from (4) that $u_\lambda \rightarrow u^*$ in a pointwise sense and weakly in $H^2(\Omega)$, and $\frac{1}{1-u^*} \in L^3(\Omega)$. In particular, u^* is a H^2 -weak solution of $(P_{\lambda^*, \alpha, \gamma})$ which is also semi-stable as the limiting function of the semi-stable solutions $\{u_\lambda\}$.

(3) Whenever $\|u^*\|_\infty < 1$, the function u^* is a classical solution, and by the Implicit Function Theorem we have that $\mu_1(u^*) = 0$ to prevent the continuation of the minimal branch beyond λ^* . By Lemma 3.2, u^* is then the unique $\mathcal{H}$ -weak solution of $(P_{\lambda^*, \alpha, \gamma})$.

(4) If $\lambda < \lambda^*$, we get by uniqueness that $v = u_\lambda$. So v is not singular and a contradiction arises. Now, by Theorem 2.5(3) we have that $\lambda = \lambda^*$. Since v is a semi-stable $\mathcal{H}$ -weak solution of $(P_{\lambda^*, \alpha, \gamma})$ and u^* is a $\mathcal{H}$ -weak super-solution of $(P_{\lambda^*, \alpha, \gamma})$, we can apply Lemma 3.2 to get $v \leq u^*$ a.e. in Ω . Since u^* is also a semi-stable solution, we can reverse the roles of v and u^* in Lemma 3.2 to see that $v \geq u^*$ a.e. in Ω . So equality $v = u^*$ holds and the proof is done. $\square$

4 Regularity of the extremal solutions in dimensions $N \leq 8$

In this section we shall show that the extremal solution is regular in small dimensions. Let us begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $N \geq 5$ and (u^*, λ^*) be the extremal pair of (P_λ) . If u^* is singular, and we set*

$$\Gamma := \{r \in (0, 1) : u_\delta(r) > u^*(r)\} \quad (5)$$

is non-empty, where $u_\delta(x) := 1 - C_\delta |x|^{\frac{4}{3}}$ and $C_\delta > 1$ is a constant. Then there exists $r_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that $u_\delta(r_1) \geq u^*(r_1)$ and $\Delta u_\delta(r_1) \leq \Delta u^*(r_1)$.

Proof. Assume by contradiction that for every r with $u_\delta(r_1) \geq u^*(r_1)$ one has $\Delta u_\delta(r_1) > \Delta u^*(r_1)$. Since Γ is non-empty and

$$u_\delta(1) = 1 - C_\delta < 0 = u^*(1),$$

there exists $s_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that $u_\delta(s_1) = u^*(s_1)$. We claim that

$$u_\delta(s) > u^*(s),$$

for $0 < s < s_1$. Assume that there exist $s_3 < s_2 \leq s_1$ such that $u^*(s_2) = u_\delta(s_2)$, $u^*(s_3) = u_\delta(s_3)$ and $u_\delta(s) \geq u^*(s)$ for $s \in (s_3, s_2)$. By our assumption $\Delta u_s > \Delta u^*(s)$ for $s \in (s_3, s_2)$ which contradicts the maximum principle and justifies the claim. Therefore $u_\delta(s) > u^*(s)$ for $0 < s < s_1$. Now set $w := u_\delta - u^*$. Then $w \geq 0$ on B_{s_1} and $\Delta w \leq 0$ in B_{s_1} . Since $w(0) = 0$, by strong maximum principle we get $w \equiv 0$ on B_{s_1} . This is a contradiction and completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 4.2 *Let $N \geq 5$ and (u^*, λ^*) be the extremal pair of (P_λ) . When u^* is singular, then*

$$1 - u^* \leq C|x|^{\frac{4}{3}} \quad \text{in } B,$$

where $C := (\frac{\lambda^*}{\beta\lambda})^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $\bar{\lambda} := \frac{8(N-\frac{2}{3})(N-\frac{8}{3})}{9}$.

Proof. For $\delta > 0$, define $u_\delta(x) := 1 - C_\delta |x|^{\frac{4}{3}}$ with $C_\delta := (\frac{\lambda^*}{\beta\lambda} + \delta)^{\frac{1}{3}} > 1$. Since $N \geq 5$, we have that $u_\delta \in H_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and u_δ is a $\mathcal{H}$ -weak solution of

$$\beta \Delta^2 u_\delta - \tau \Delta u_\delta = \frac{\lambda^* + \beta \delta \bar{\lambda}}{(1 - u_\delta)^2} + \frac{4}{3} \tau C_\delta (N - \frac{2}{3}) |x|^{-\frac{2}{3}} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

We claim that $u_\delta \leq u^*$ in B , which will finish the proof by just letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

Assume by contradiction that the set $\Gamma := \{r \in (0, 1) : u_\delta(r) > u^*(r)\}$ is non-empty. By Lemma 4.1 the set

$$\Lambda := \{r \in (0, 1) : u_\delta(r) \geq u^*(r) \text{ and } \Delta u_\delta(r) \leq \Delta u^*(r)\}$$

is non-empty. Let $r_1 \in \Lambda$. Since

$$u_\delta(1) = 1 - C_\delta < 0 = u^*(1),$$

we have that $0 < r_1 < 1$. Define

$$\alpha := u_*(r_1) \leq u_\delta(r_1), \quad \gamma := \Delta u^*(r_1) \geq \Delta u_\delta(r_1).$$

Setting $u_{\delta, r_1} = r_1^{-\frac{4}{3}}(u_\delta(r_1 r) - 1) + 1$, we see that u_{δ, r_1} is a $\mathcal{H}$ -weak super-solution of $(P_{\lambda^* + \delta \lambda, \beta, r_1^{-2} \tau, \alpha', \gamma'})$, where

$$\alpha' := r_1^{-\frac{4}{3}}(\alpha - 1) + 1, \quad \gamma' = r_1^{\frac{2}{3}} \gamma.$$

Similarly, define $u_{r_1}^*(r) = r_1^{-\frac{4}{3}}(u^*(r_1 r) - 1) + 1$. Note that $\Delta^2 u^* - \alpha \Delta u^* \geq 0$ in B and $\Delta u^* = 0$ on ∂B . Hence by maximum principle we have $\Delta u^* \leq 0$ in B and therefore $\gamma' \leq 0$. Also obviously $\alpha' < 1$. So, (α', γ') is an admissible pair and by Theorem 3.1(4) we get that $(u_{r_1}^*, \lambda^*)$ coincides with the extremal pair of $(P_{\lambda, \beta, r_1^{-2} \tau, \alpha', \gamma'})$ in B . Also by Lemma 2.2 we get the existence of a weak solution of $(P_{\lambda^* + \delta \lambda, \beta, r_1^{-2} \tau, \alpha', \gamma'})$. Since $\lambda^* + \delta \lambda > \lambda^*$, we contradict the fact that λ^* is the extremal parameter of $(P_{\lambda, \beta, r_1^{-2} \tau, \alpha', \gamma'})$. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the following result.

Theorem 4.3 *If $5 \leq N \leq 8$, then the extremal solution u^* of $(P)_\lambda$ is regular.*

Proof. Assume that u^* is singular. For $\epsilon > 0$ define $\varphi(x) := |x|^{\frac{4-N}{2} + \epsilon}$ and note that

$$(\Delta \varphi)^2 = (H_N + O(\epsilon)) |x|^{-N+2\epsilon}, \text{ where } H_N := \frac{N^2(N-4)^2}{16}.$$

Given $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B)$, and since $N \geq 5$, we can use the test function $\eta \varphi \in H_0^2(B)$ into the stability inequality to obtain

$$2\lambda^* \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{(1 - u^*)^3} \leq \beta \int_B (\Delta \varphi)^2 + \tau \int_B |\nabla \varphi|^2 + O(1),$$

where $O(1)$ is a bounded function as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. By Theorem 4.2 we find

$$2\bar{\lambda} \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{|x|^4} \leq \int_B (\Delta \varphi)^2 + O(1),$$

and then

$$2\bar{\lambda} \int_B |x|^{-N+2\epsilon} \leq (H_N + O(\epsilon)) \int_B |x|^{-N+2\epsilon} + O(1).$$

Computing the integrals on obtains

$$2\bar{\lambda} \leq H_N + O(\epsilon).$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get $2\bar{\lambda} \leq H_N$. Graphing this relation we see that $N \geq 9$. $\square$

5 The extremal solution is singular in dimensions $N \geq 9$

In this section we will show that the extremal solution u^* of $(P_{\lambda,\beta,\tau,0,0})$ in dimensions $N \geq 9$ is singular for $\tau > 0$ sufficiently small. To do this, first we shall show that the extremal solution of $(P_{\lambda,1,0,0,0})$ is singular in dimensions $N \geq 9$. Again to cut down the notation we won't always indicate that $\beta = 1$ and $\tau = 0$.

We have to distinguish between three different ranges for the dimension. For each range, we will need a suitable Hardy-Rellich type inequality that will be established in the appendix, by using the recent results of Ghoussoub-Moradifam [17].

- **Case $N \geq 16$:** To establish the singularity of u^* for these dimensions we shall need the classical Hardy-Rellich inequality, which is valid for all $\varphi \in H^2(B) \cap H_0^1(B)$:

$$\int_B (\Delta \varphi)^2 dx \geq \frac{N^2(N-4)^2}{16} \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{|x|^4} dx. \quad (6)$$

- **Case $10 \leq N \leq 16$:** For this case, we shall need the following inequality valid for all $\varphi \in H^2(B) \cap H_0^1(B)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B (\Delta \varphi)^2 &\geq \frac{(N-2)^2(N-4)^2}{16} \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{(|x|^2 - \frac{N}{2(N-1)}|x|^{\frac{N}{2}+1})(|x|^2 - |x|^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\ &+ \frac{(N-1)(N-4)^2}{4} \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{|x|^2(|x|^2 - |x|^{\frac{N}{2}})}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

- **Case $N = 9$:** This case is the trickiest and will require the following inequality for all $\varphi \in H^2(B) \cap H_0^1(B)$, which is valid for $N \geq 7$

$$\int_B |\Delta u|^2 \geq \int_B W(|x|)u^2. \quad (8)$$

where where

$$W(r) = K(r) \left(\frac{(N-2)^2}{4(r^2 - \frac{N}{2(N-1)}r^{\frac{N}{2}+1})} + \frac{(N-1)}{r^2} \right),$$

$$K(r) = -\frac{\varphi''(r) + \frac{(n-3)}{r}\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)},$$

and

$$\varphi(r) = r^{-\frac{N}{2}+2} + 9r^{-2} + 10r - 20.$$

The next lemma will be our main tool to guarantee that u^* is singular for $N \geq 9$. The proof is based on an upper estimate by a singular stable sub-solution.

Lemma 5.1 *Suppose there exist $\lambda' > 0$ and a radial function $u \in H^2(B) \cap W_{loc}^{4,\infty}(B \setminus \{0\})$ such that*

$$\Delta^2 u \leq \frac{\lambda'}{(1-u)^2} \text{ for } 0 < r < 1, \quad (9)$$

$$u(1) = 0, \quad \Delta u|_{r=1} = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$u \text{ is singular}, \quad (11)$$

and

$$2\beta \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{(1-u)^3} \leq \int_B (\Delta \varphi)^2 \text{ for all } \varphi \in H^2(B) \cap H_0^1(B), \quad (12)$$

for some $\beta > \lambda'$. Then u^* is singular and

$$\lambda^* \leq \lambda' \quad (13)$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 we have (13). Let $\frac{\lambda'}{\beta} < \gamma < 1$ and

$$\alpha := \left(\frac{\gamma\lambda^*}{\lambda'}\right)^{1/3}, \quad (14)$$

and define $\bar{u} := 1 - \alpha(1 - u)$. We claim that

$$u^* \leq \bar{u} \text{ in } B. \quad (15)$$

To prove this, we shall show that for $\lambda < \lambda^*$

$$u_\lambda \leq \bar{u} \text{ in } B. \quad (16)$$

Indeed, we have

$$\Delta^2(\bar{u}) = \alpha\Delta^2(u) \leq \frac{\alpha\lambda'}{(1-u)^2} = \frac{\alpha^3\lambda'}{(1-\bar{u})^2}.$$

By (13) and the choice of α

$$\alpha^3\lambda' < \lambda^*.$$

To prove (15) it suffices to prove it for $\alpha^3\lambda' < \lambda < \lambda^*$. Fix such λ and assume that (15) is not true. Then

$$\Lambda = \{0 \leq R \leq 1 \mid u_\lambda(R) > \bar{u}(R)\},$$

is non-empty. There exists $0 < R_1 < 1$, such that $u_\lambda(R_1) \geq u^*(R_1)$ and $\Delta u_\lambda(R_1) \leq \Delta u^*(R_1)$, since otherwise we can find $0 < s_1 < s_2 < 1$ so that $u_\lambda(s_1) = \bar{u}(s_1)$, $u_\lambda(s_2) = \bar{u}(s_2)$, $u_\lambda(R) > \bar{u}(R)$, and $\Delta u_\lambda(R_1) > \Delta u^*(R_1)$ which contradict the maximum principle. Now consider the following problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2 u &= \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2} \text{ in } B \\ u &= u_\lambda(R_1) \text{ on } \partial B \\ \Delta u &= \Delta u_\lambda \text{ on } \partial B. \end{aligned}$$

Then u_λ is a solution to the above problem while $\bar{u}$ is a sub-solution to the same problem. Moreover $\bar{u}$ is stable since,

$$\lambda < \lambda^*$$

and hence

$$\frac{2\lambda}{(1-\bar{u})^3} \leq \frac{2\lambda^*}{\alpha^3(1-u)^3} = \frac{2\lambda'}{\gamma(1-u)^3} < \frac{2\beta}{(1-u)^3}.$$

We deduce $\bar{u} \leq u_\lambda$ in B_{R_1} which is impossible, since $\bar{u}$ is singular while u_λ is smooth. This establishes (15). From (15) and the above two inequalities we have

$$\frac{2\lambda^*}{(1-u^*)^3} \leq \frac{2\lambda'}{\gamma(1-u)^3} < \frac{\beta}{(1-u)^3}.$$

Thus

$$\inf_{\varphi \in C_0^\infty} (B) \frac{\int_B (\Delta \varphi)^2 - \frac{2\lambda^* \varphi^2}{(1-u^*)^3}}{\int_B \varphi^2} > 0.$$

This is not possible if u^* is a smooth solution. $\square$

For any $m > \frac{4}{3}$ define

$$w_m := 1 - a_{N,m} r^{\frac{4}{3}} + b_{N,m} r^m,$$

where

$$a_{N,m} := \frac{m(N+m-2)}{m(N+m-2) - \frac{4}{3}(N-2/3)} \text{ and } b_{N,m} := \frac{\frac{4}{3}(N-2/3)}{m(N+m-2) - \frac{4}{3}(N-2/3)}.$$

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.2 *The following upper bounds on λ^* hold in large dimensions.*

1. If $N \geq 31$, then Lemma 5.1 holds with $u := w_2$, $\lambda'_N = 27\bar{\lambda}$ and $\beta = \frac{H_N}{2} > 27\bar{\lambda}$.
2. If $16 \leq N \leq 30$, then Lemma 5.1 holds with $u := w_3$, $\lambda'_N = \frac{H_N}{2} - 1$, $\beta_N = \frac{H_N}{2}$.
3. If $10 \leq N \leq 15$, then Lemma 5.1 holds with $u := w_3$, $\lambda'_N < \beta_N$ given in Table 1.
4. If $N = 9$, then Lemma 5.1 holds with $u := w_{2,8}$, $\lambda'_9 := 249 < \beta_9 := 251$.

The extremal solution is therefore singular for dimensions $N \geq 9$.

Proof. 1) Assume first that $N \geq 31$, then it is easy to see that $a_{N,2} < 3$ and $a_{N,2}^3 \bar{\lambda} \leq 27\bar{\lambda} < \frac{H_N}{2}$. We shall show that w_2 is a singular $\mathcal{H}$ -weak sub-solution of $(P)_{a_{N,2}^3 \bar{\lambda}}$ which is stable. Note that $w_2 \in H^2(B)$, $\frac{1}{1-w_2} \in L^3(B)$, $0 \leq w_2 \leq 1$ in B , and

$$\Delta^2 w_2 \leq \frac{a_{N,2}^3 \bar{\lambda}}{(1-w_2)^2} \text{ in } B \setminus \{0\}.$$

So w_2 is a $\mathcal{H}$ -weak sub-solution of $(P)_{27\bar{\lambda}}$. Moreover,

$$w_2 = 1 - |x|^{\frac{4}{3}} + (a_{N,2} - 1)(|x|^{\frac{4}{3}} - |x|^2) \leq 1 - |x|^{\frac{4}{3}}.$$

Since $27\bar{\lambda} \leq \frac{H_N}{2}$, we get that

$$54\bar{\lambda} \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{(1-w_2)^3} \leq H_N \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{(1-w_2)^3} \leq H_N \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{|x|^4} \leq \int_B (\Delta\varphi)^2$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B)$. Hence, w_2 is stable. Thus it follows from Lemma 5.1 that u^* is singular and $\lambda^* \leq 27\bar{\lambda}$.

2) Assume $16 \leq N \leq 30$ and consider

$$w_3 := 1 - a_{N,3} r^{\frac{4}{3}} + b_{N,3} r^3.$$

We show that it is a singular $\mathcal{H}$ -weak sub-solution of $(P_{\frac{H_N}{2}-1})$ which is stable. Indeed, we clearly have $0 \leq w_3 \leq 1$ a.e. in B , $w_3 \in H^2(B)$ and $\frac{1}{1-w_3} \in L^3(B)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} H_N \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{(1-w_3)^3} &= H_N \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{(a_{N,m} r^{\frac{4}{3}} - b_{N,m} r^m)^3} \\ &\leq \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{H_N}{(a_{N,m} - b_{N,m} r^{m-\frac{4}{3}})^3} \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{r^4} = H_N \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{r^4} \leq \int_B (\Delta\varphi)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using maple one can verify that for $16 \leq N \leq 31$

$$\Delta^2 w_3 \leq \frac{\frac{H_N}{2} - 1}{(1-w_3)^2} \text{ on } (0,1).$$

Hence w_3 is a sub-solution of $(P_{\frac{H_N}{2}-1})$. By Lemma 5.1 u^* is singular and $\lambda^* \leq \frac{H_N}{2} - 1$.

3) Assume $10 \leq N \leq 15$. We shall show that w_3 satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 5.1 for each dimension $10 \leq N \leq 15$. Using maple, for each dimension $10 \leq N \leq 15$, one can verify that inequality (17) holds for λ'_N given by Table 1. Then, by using maple again, we show that there exists $\beta_N > \lambda'_N$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(N-2)^2(N-4)^2}{16} \frac{1}{(|x|^2 - \frac{N}{2(N-1)}|x|^{\frac{N}{2}+1})(|x|^2 - |x|^{\frac{N}{2}})} &+ \frac{(N-1)(N-4)^2}{4} \frac{1}{|x|^2(|x|^2 - |x|^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\ &\geq \frac{2\beta_N}{(1-w_3)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Table 1: Summary		
N	λ'_N	β_N
9	249	251
10	320	367
11	405	574
12	502	851
13	610	1211
14	730	1668
15	860	2235
$16 \leq N \leq 30$	$\frac{H_N}{2} - 1$	$\frac{H_N}{2}$
$N \geq 31$	$27\bar{\lambda}$	$\frac{H_N}{2}$

The above inequality and improved Hardy-Rellich inequality (31) guarantee that the stability condition (20) holds for $\beta_N > \lambda'$. Hence by Lemma 5.1 the extremal solution is singular for $10 \leq N \leq 15$. The values of λ_N and β_N are shown in Table 1.

4) Let $u := w_{2.8}$. Using Maple one can see that

$$\Delta^2 u \leq \frac{249}{(1-u)^2} \quad \text{in } B$$

and

$$\frac{502}{(1-u(r))^3} \leq W(r) \quad \text{for all } r \in (0, 1),$$

where W is given by (33). Since, $502 > 2 \times 249$, by Lemma 5.1 the extremal solution u^* is singular in dimension $N = 9$. $\square$

Remark 5.3 *It follows from the proof of Theorem 5.2 that for $N \geq 9$ and $\frac{\tau}{\beta}$ sufficiently small, there exists $u \in H^2(B) \cap W_{loc}^{4,\infty}(B \setminus \{0\})$ such that*

$$\Delta^2 u - \frac{\tau}{\beta} \Delta u \leq \frac{\lambda''_N}{(1-u)^2} \quad \text{for } 0 < r < 1, \quad (17)$$

$$u(1) = 0, \quad \Delta u|_{r=1} = 0, \quad (18)$$

$$u \text{ is singular}, \quad (19)$$

and

$$2\beta'_N \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{(1-u)^3} \leq \int_B (\Delta \varphi)^2 + \frac{\tau}{\beta} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in H^2(B) \cap H_0^1(B), \quad (20)$$

where $\beta'_N > \lambda''_N > 0$ are constants. Indeed, for each dimension $N \geq 9$, it is enough to take u to be the sub-solution we constructed in the proof of Theorem 5.2, $\beta'_N := \beta_N$, $\lambda' < \lambda'' < \beta$. If $\frac{\tau}{\beta}$ is sufficiently small so that $-\frac{\tau}{\beta} \Delta u < \frac{\lambda'' - \lambda'}{(1-u)^2}$ on $(0, 1)$, then with an argument similar to that of Lemma 5.1 we deduce that the extremal solution u^* of $(P_{\lambda, \beta, \tau, 0, 0})$ is singular. We believe that the extremal solution of $(P_{\lambda, \beta, \tau, 0, 0})$ is singular for all $\beta, \tau > 0$ in dimensions $N \geq 9$.

6 Appendix: Improved Hardy-Rellich Inequalities

We now prove the improved Hardy-Rellich inequalities used in section 4. They rely on the results of Ghoussoub-Moradifam in [17] which provide necessary and sufficient conditions for such inequalities to hold. At the heart of this characterization is the following notion of a Bessel pair of functions.

Definition 6 Assume that B is a ball of radius R in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $V, W \in C^1(0, 1)$, and $\int_0^R \frac{1}{r^{N-1}V(r)}dr = +\infty$. Say that the couple (V, W) is a Bessel pair on $(0, R)$ if the ordinary differential equation

$$(B_{V,W}) \quad y''(r) + \left(\frac{N-1}{r} + \frac{V_r(r)}{V(r)}\right)y'(r) + \frac{W(r)}{V(r)}y(r) = 0$$

has a positive solution on the interval $(0, R)$.

The needed inequalities will follow from the following two results.

Theorem 6.1 (Ghoussoub-Moradifam [17]) Let V and W be positive radial C^1 -functions on $B \setminus \{0\}$, where B is a ball centered at zero with radius R in $\mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 1$) such that $\int_0^R \frac{1}{r^{N-1}V(r)}dr = +\infty$ and $\int_0^R r^{N-1}V(r)dr < +\infty$. The following statements are then equivalent:

1. (V, W) is a Bessel pair on $(0, R)$.
2. $\int_B V(|x|)|\nabla\varphi|^2dx \geq \int_B W(|x|)\varphi^2dx$ for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B)$.

Theorem 6.2 Let B be the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 5$). Then the inequality

$$\int_B |\Delta u|^2 dx \geq \int_B \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{|x|^2 - \frac{N}{2(N-1)}|x|^{\frac{N}{2}+1}} dx + (N-1) \int_B \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{|x|^2} dx, \quad (21)$$

holds for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\bar{B})$.

We shall need the following result to prove (21).

Lemma 6.3 For every $u \in C^1([0, 1])$ the following inequality holds

$$\int_0^1 |u'(r)|^2 r^{N-1} dr \geq \int_0^1 \frac{u^2}{r^2 - \frac{N}{2(N-1)}r^{\frac{N}{2}+1}} r^{N-1} dr - (N-1)(u(1))^2. \quad (22)$$

Proof. Let $\varphi := r^{-\frac{N}{2}+1} - \frac{N}{2(N-1)}$ and $k(r) := r^{N-1}$. Define $\psi(r) = u(r)/\varphi(r)$, $r \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |u'(r)|^2 k(r) dr &= \int_0^1 |\psi(r)|^2 |\varphi'(r)|^2 k(r) dr + \int_0^1 2\varphi(r)\varphi'(r)\psi(r)\psi'(r)k(r) dr + \int_0^1 |\varphi(r)|^2 |\psi'(r)|^2 k(r) dr \\ &= \int_0^1 |\psi(r)|^2 (|\varphi'(r)|^2 k(r) - (k\varphi\varphi')'(r)) dr + \int_0^1 |\varphi(r)|^2 |\psi'(r)|^2 k(r) dr + \psi^2(1)\varphi'(1)\varphi(1) \\ &\geq \int_0^1 |\psi(r)|^2 (|\varphi'(r)|^2 k(r) - (k\varphi\varphi')'(r)) dr + \psi^2(1)\varphi'(1)\varphi(1) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\psi^2(1)\varphi'(1)\varphi(1) = u^2(1)\frac{\varphi'(1)}{\varphi(1)} = -(N-1)u^2(1)$. Hence, we have

$$\int_0^1 |u'(r)|^2 k(r) dr \geq \int_0^1 -u^2(r) \frac{k'(r)\varphi'(r) + k(r)\varphi''(r)}{\varphi} dr - (N-1)u^2(1) \quad (23)$$

$$(24)$$

Simplifying the above inequality we get (22). $\square$

The decomposition of a function into its spherical harmonics will be one of our tools to prove Theorem 6.2. Let $u \in C_0^\infty(\bar{B})$. By decomposing u into spherical harmonics we get

$$u = \sum_{k=0}^\infty u_k \text{ where } u_k = f_k(|x|)\varphi_k(x)$$

and $(\varphi_k(x))_k$ are the orthonormal eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator with corresponding eigenvalues $c_k = k(N + k - 2)$, $k \geq 0$. The functions f_k belong to $u \in C^\infty([0, 1])$, $f_k(1) = 0$, and satisfy $f_k(r) = O(r^k)$ and $f'_k(r) = O(r^{k-1})$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. In particular,

$$\varphi_0 = 1 \text{ and } f_0 = \frac{1}{N\omega_N r^{N-1}} \int_{\partial B_r} u ds = \frac{1}{N\omega_N} \int_{|x|=1} u(rx) ds. \quad (25)$$

We also have for any $k \geq 0$, and any continuous real valued W on $(0, 1)$,

$$\int_B |\Delta u_k|^2 dx = \int_B \left(\Delta f_k(|x|) - c_k \frac{f_k(|x|)}{|x|^2} \right)^2 dx, \quad (26)$$

and

$$\int_B W(|x|) |\nabla u_k|^2 dx = \int_B W(|x|) |\nabla f_k|^2 dx + c_k \int_B W(|x|) |x|^{-2} f_k^2 dx. \quad (27)$$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 6.2. We shall use the inequality

$$\int_0^1 |x'(r)|^2 r^{N-1} dr \geq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4} \int_0^1 \frac{x^2(r)}{r^2 - \frac{N}{2(N-1)} r^{\frac{N}{2}+1}} r^{N-1} dr \text{ for all } x \in C^1([0, 1]) \text{ with } x(1) = 0. \quad (28)$$

Proof of Theorem 6.2: For all $N \geq 5$ and $k \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N\omega_N} \int_B |\Delta u_k|^2 dx &= \frac{1}{N\omega_N} \int_B \left(\Delta f_k(|x|) - c_k \frac{f_k(|x|)}{|x|^2} \right)^2 dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(f_k''(r) + \frac{N-1}{r} f_k'(r) - c_k \frac{f_k(r)}{r^2} \right)^2 r^{N-1} dr \\ &= \int_0^1 (f_k''(r))^2 r^{N-1} dr + (N-1)^2 \int_0^1 (f_k'(r))^2 r^{N-3} dr \\ &\quad + c_k^2 \int_0^1 f_k^2(r) r^{N-5} + 2(N-1) \int_0^1 f_k''(r) f_k'(r) r^{N-2} \\ &\quad - 2c_k \int_0^1 f_k''(r) f_k(r) r^{N-3} dr - 2c_k(N-1) \int_0^1 f_k'(r) f_k(r) r^{N-4} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Integrate by parts and use (25) for $k = 0$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N\omega_N} \int_B |\Delta u_k|^2 dx &\geq \int_0^1 (f_k''(r))^2 r^{N-1} dr + (N-1+2c_k) \int_0^1 (f_k'(r))^2 r^{N-3} dr \\ &\quad + (2c_k(N-4) + c_k^2) \int_0^1 r^{N-5} f_k^2(r) dr + (N-1)(f_k'(1))^2 \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Now define $g_k(r) = \frac{f_k(r)}{r}$ and note that $g_k(r) = O(r^{k-1})$ for all $k \geq 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (f_k'(r))^2 r^{N-3} &= \int_0^1 (g_k'(r))^2 r^{N-1} dr + \int_0^1 2g_k(r)g_k'(r)r^{N-2} dr + \int_0^1 g_k^2(r)r^{N-3} dr \\ &= \int_0^1 (g_k'(r))^2 r^{N-1} dr - (N-3) \int_0^1 g_k^2(r)r^{N-3} dr \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int_0^1 (f_k'(r))^2 r^{N-3} \geq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4} \int_0^1 \frac{f_k^2(r)}{r^2 - \frac{N}{2(N-1)} r^{\frac{N}{2}+1}} r^{N-3} dr - (N-3) \int_0^1 f_k^2(r) r^{N-5} dr \quad (30)$$

Substituting $2c_k \int_0^1 (f'_k(r))^2 r^{N-3} dr$ in (29) by its lower estimate in the last inequality (30), and using Lemma 6.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{N\omega_N} \int_B |\Delta u_k|^2 dx &\geq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4} \int_0^1 \frac{(f'_k(r))^2}{r^2 - \frac{N}{2(N-1)} r^{\frac{N}{2}+1}} r^{N-1} dr + 2c_k \frac{(N-2)^2}{4} \int_0^1 \frac{f_k^2(r)}{r^2 - \frac{N}{2(N-1)} r^{\frac{N}{2}+1}} r^{N-3} dr \\
&+ (N-1) \int_0^1 (f'_k(r))^2 r^{N-3} dr + c_k(N-1) \int_0^1 (f_k(r))^2 r^{N-5} dr \\
&+ c_k(c_k - (N-1)) \int_0^1 r^{N-5} f_k^2(r) dr + c_k \int_0^1 \left(\frac{(N-2)^2}{4(r^2 - \frac{N}{2(N-1)} r^{\frac{N}{2}+1})} - \frac{2}{r^2} \right) dr. \\
&\geq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4} \int_0^1 \frac{(f'_k(r))^2}{r^2 - \frac{N}{2(N-1)} r^{\frac{N}{2}+1}} r^{N-1} dr + c_k \frac{(N-2)^2}{4} \int_0^1 \frac{f_k^2(r)}{r^2 - \frac{N}{2(N-1)} r^{\frac{N}{2}+1}} r^{N-3} dr \\
&+ (N-1) \int_0^1 (f'_k(r))^2 r^{N-3} dr + c_k(N-1) \int_0^1 (f_k(r))^2 r^{N-5} dr
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete in the view of (27). $\square$

We shall now deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 6.4 *Let $N \geq 5$ and B be the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then the following improved Hardy-Rellich inequality holds for all $\varphi \in H^2(B) \cap H_0^1(B)$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_B (\Delta \varphi)^2 &\geq \frac{(N-2)^2(N-4)^2}{16} \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{(|x|^2 - \frac{N}{2(N-1)} |x|^{\frac{N}{2}+1})(|x|^2 - |x|^{\frac{N}{2}})} \\
&+ \frac{(N-1)(N-4)^2}{4} \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{|x|^2(|x|^2 - |x|^{\frac{N}{2}})}
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Proof. Let $\alpha := \frac{N}{2(N-1)}$ and $V(r) := \frac{1}{r^2 - \alpha r^{\frac{N}{2}+1}}$ and note that

$$\frac{V_r}{V} = -\frac{2}{r} + \frac{\alpha(N-2)}{2} \frac{r^{\frac{N}{2}-2}}{1 - \alpha r^{\frac{N}{2}-1}} \geq -\frac{2}{r}.$$

The function $y(r) = r^{-\frac{N}{2}+2} - 1$ is decreasing and is then a positive super-solution on $(0, 1)$ for the ODE

$$y'' + \left(\frac{N-1}{r} + \frac{V_r}{V} \right) y'(r) + \frac{W_1(r)}{V(r)} y = 0,$$

where

$$W_1(r) = \frac{(N-4)^2}{4(r^2 - r^{\frac{N}{2}})(r^2 - \alpha r^{\frac{N}{2}+1})}.$$

Hence, by Theorem 6.1 we deduce

$$\int_B \frac{|\nabla \varphi|^2}{|x|^2 - \alpha |x|^{\frac{N}{2}+1}} \geq \left(\frac{N-4}{2} \right)^2 \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{(|x|^2 - \alpha |x|^{\frac{N}{2}+1})(|x|^2 - |x|^{\frac{N}{2}})}$$

for all $\varphi \in H^2(B) \cap H_0^1(B)$. Similarly, for $V(r) = \frac{1}{r^2}$ we have that

$$\int_B \frac{|\nabla \varphi|^2}{|x|^2} \geq \left(\frac{N-4}{2} \right)^2 \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{|x|^2(|x|^2 - |x|^{\frac{N}{2}})}$$

for all $\varphi \in H^2(B) \cap H_0^1(B)$. Combining the above two inequalities with (22) we get (31). $\square$

Corollary 6.5 *Let $N \geq 7$ and B be the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then the following improved Hardy-Rellich inequality holds for all $\varphi \in H^2(B) \cap H_0^1(B)$:*

$$\int_B |\Delta u|^2 \geq \int_B W(|x|)u^2. \quad (32)$$

where

$$W(r) = K(r) \left(\frac{(N-2)^2}{4(r^2 - \frac{N}{2(N-1)}r^{\frac{N}{2}+1})} + \frac{(N-1)}{r^2} \right), \quad (33)$$

$$K(r) = -\frac{\varphi''(r) + \frac{(n-3)}{r}\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)},$$

and

$$\varphi(r) = r^{-\frac{N}{2}+2} + 9r^{-2} + 10r - 20.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha := \frac{N}{2(N-1)}$ and $V(r) := \frac{1}{r^2 - \alpha r^{\frac{N}{2}+1}}$. Then φ is a sub-solution for the ODE

$$y'' + \left(\frac{N-1}{r} + \frac{V_r}{V} \right) y'(r) + \frac{W_2(r)}{V(r)} y = 0,$$

where

$$W_2(r) = \frac{K(r)}{r^2 - \alpha r^{\frac{N}{2}+1}},$$

Hence by Theorem 6.1 we have

$$\int_B \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{|x|^2 - \alpha |x|^{\frac{N}{2}+1}} \geq \int_B W_2(|x|)u^2. \quad (34)$$

Similarly

$$\int_B \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{|x|^2} \geq \int_B W_3(|x|)u^2. \quad (35)$$

where

$$W_3(r) = \frac{K(r)}{r^2}.$$

Combining the above two inequalities with (22) we get improved Hardy-Rellich inequality (32). $\square$

Acknowledgment: I would like to thank Professor Nassif Ghoussoub, my supervisor, for his valuable suggestions, constant support, and encouragement. I also thank C. Cowan for useful discussions.

References

- [1] S. Agmon, A. Douglis, L. Nirenberg, Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. I, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 12 (1959), 623-727.
- [2] T. Brau, A decomposition method with respect to dual cones and its application to higher order Sobolev spaces, preprint.
- [3] C. Cowan and N. Ghoussoub: Regularity of the extremal solution in a MEMS model with advection, preprint.
- [4] C. Cowan, P. Esposito, N. Ghoussoub, and A. Moradifam, The critical dimension for a fourth order elliptic problem with singular nonlinearity, submitted.
- [5] M. G. Crandall and P. H. Rabinowitz, Some continuation and variational methods for positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 58 (1975), pp. 207-218.

- [6] J. Davila, L. Dupaigne, I. Guerra, and M. Montenegro, Stable Solutions for the Bilaplacian with Exponential Nonlinearity, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 39 (2007) 565-592.
- [7] P. Esposito, N. Ghoussoub, and Y. Guo, Compactness along the branch of semi-stable and unstable solutions for an elliptic problem with a singular nonlinearity, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 60 (2008), pp. 1731-1768.
- [8] F. Gazzola and H.-Ch. Grunau, Critical dimensions and higher order Sobolev inequalities with remainder terms, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, 8 (2001), pp. 35-44.
- [9] N. Ghoussoub and Y. Guo, On the partial differential equations of electrostatic MEMS devices: Stationary case, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 38 (2007), pp. 1423-1449.
- [10] N. Ghoussoub and Y. Guo, On the partial differential equations of electrostatic MEMS devices II: Dynamic case, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, 15 (2008), pp. 1151-1155.
- [11] Y. Guo, On the partial differential equations of electrostatic MEMS devices III: Refined touchdown behavior, *J. Differential Equations*, 244 (2008), pp. 2277-2309.
- [12] Z. M. Guo and J. C. Wei, Hausdorff dimension of ruptures for solutions of a semilinear elliptic equation with singular nonlinearity, *Manuscripta Math.*, 120 (2006), pp. 193-209.
- [13] Z. M. Guo and J. C. Wei, Symmetry of nonnegative solutions of a semilinear elliptic equation with singular nonlinearity, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 137 (2007), pp. 963-994.
- [14] Z. M. Guo and J. C. Wei, Infinitely many turning points for an elliptic problem with a singular nonlinearity, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 78 (2008), pp. 21-35.
- [15] Z. Gui, J. Wei, On a fourth order nonlinear elliptic equation with negative exponent, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 40 (2009), 2034-2054.
- [16] F. Lin and Y. Yang, Nonlinear non-local elliptic equation modelling electrostatic actuation, *Proc. R. Soc. A* (2007) 463, 1323-1337.
- [17] N. Ghoussoub, A. Moradifard, Bessel pairs and optimal Hardy and Hardy-Rellich inequalities, submitted.
- [18] N. Ghoussoub, A. Moradifard, On the best possible remaining term in the Hardy inequality, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 105 (2008) no 37, 13746-13751
- [19] J.-J. Moreau, Decomposition orthogonale dun espace hilbertien selon deux cones mutuellement polaires, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 255 (1962), 238-240.